



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,126	03/30/2001	Hassan A. Serhan	DEP0546	8872
7.	590 04/21/2003			
Philip S. Johnson Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza			EXAMINER	
			MANAHAN, TODD E	
New Brunswick, NJ 08933			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	1
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	+
	,			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

77

<u>Υ</u>Κ.

Application No. 09/822,126

Applicant(s)

Serhan et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Todd E. Manahan

Art Unit **3732**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.					
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAND - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timel	ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	,,,				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 Feb 2003					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-12, 17-30, 36-48, 58-65, and 67-80</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 2-4, 19-23, 25-28, 40-48, 58-65, and 73-80	is/are withdrawn from consideratio				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) X Claim(s) 1, 5-12, 17, 18, 24, 29, 30, 36-39, and 67-72					
7) Claim(s)					
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers					
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: all approved by disapproved by the Examine					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
1·2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been re-					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-4					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species I, Subspecies A in Paper No. 6

is acknowledged. Claims 1, 5-12, 17, 18, 24, 29, 30, 36-39, and 67-72 read on this embodiment.

Claims 2-4, 19-23, 25-28, 40-48, 58-65, 73-80 are withdrawn from further consideration

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable

generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is in claim format rather than being

narrative in form. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a

separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist

readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 3732

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 1, "conformable portions" lacks a prior antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 3732

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 24, 30, 36-39, 67, 68, and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grooms et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,090,998 cited by applicant).

Grooms et al disclose a ligament having a central portion 103, first and second end portions 101,102 and first and second conformable portions 104,105 located between the respective end portion and the central portion. The end portions are formed as shoulderless bone fasteners.

Claims 1, 5-12, 17, 18, 24, 29, 30, 36-39, and 67-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sander (U.S. Patent No. 5,269,783).

Sander discloses a ligament having shoulderless bone fasteners 14 attached to each end portion thereof. The entire ligament is conformable and thus the ligament would have a central portion and conformable portions of all sizes located between the central portion and the end portions. The bone fasteners comprise a shank having lateral protrusions extending therefrom.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose felephone number is (703) 308-2695.

Todd E. Manahan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

T. E. Manahan April 15, 2003